UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

DAVID L. SHAW,	§	
Petitioner,	§ §	
versus	§ §	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:18-CV-260
DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID,	§ §	
Respondent.	§ §	

MEMORANDUM ORDER OVERRULING OBJECTIONS AND ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Petitioner, David L. Shaw, an inmate confined at the Michael Unit with the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions Division, proceeding *pro se*, filed this petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

The court referred this matter to the Honorable Keith GIblin, United States Magistrate Judge, at Beaumont, Texas, for consideration pursuant to applicable laws and orders of this court. The Magistrate Judge recommends the petition be dismissed as successive.

The court has received and considered the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge filed pursuant to such referral, along with the record, and pleadings. Petitioner filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. This requires a *de novo* review of the objections in relation to the pleadings and the applicable law. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b).

After careful consideration, the court finds petitioner's objections are without merit. Petitioner concedes he has already sought habeas corpus relief concerning this conviction and sentence. This Court is without jurisdiction to consider a successive habeas petition unless a three-

judge panel of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit has first granted the

petitioner permission to file such a petition. United States v. Key, 205 F.3d 773, 774 (5th Cir.

2000) (per curiam); see also Crone v. Cockrell, 324 F.3d 833, 836 (5th Cir. 2003) (section 2254

petition).

To the extent petitioner complains of the conditions of his confinement, petitioner is free

to file a separate civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

ORDER

Accordingly, the objections of the plaintiff are **OVERRULED**. The findings of fact and

conclusions of law of the Magistrate Judge are correct, and the report of the Magistrate Judge is

ADOPTED. A Final Judgment will be entered in this case in accordance with the Magistrate

Judge's recommendations.

SIGNED at Beaumont, Texas, this 28th day of November, 2018.

MARCIA A. CRONE

Maria a. Crone

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2